



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/517,640	01/04/2005	Stephan Fegert	FEGERT	3103
20151	7590	06/04/2009	EXAMINER	
HENRY M FEIEREISEN, LLC			BOR, HELENE CATHERINE	
HENRY M FEIEREISEN			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
708 THIRD AVENUE				
SUITE 1501			3768	
NEW YORK, NY 10017				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/04/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/517,640	FEGERT ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	HELENE BOR	3768	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) HELENE BOR. (3) WOLFGANG STUTIUS.
 (2) ERIC WINAKUR. (4) HENRY FEIEREISEN.

Date of Interview: 02 June 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 9.

Identification of prior art discussed: US Patent No. 5,265,682 & US Patent No. 5,002,137.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Applicant summarized the art of the prior rejection. For example Russell does not generate magnetic fields to be sensed outside of the instrument. The Examiner and the Applicant discussed possible amendments to the claim to better clarify the claim language. The Applicant will take the discussion into consideration when filing a response.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Eric F Winakur/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3768